

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ORANGE COUNTY SEWER - CROSSTAB TABLES II

- 1 AS YOU KNOW THE DROUGHT IN CALIFORNIA HAS BEEN A MAJOR TOPIC OF CONVERSATION. DO YOU BELIEVE THE DROUGHT IS A MAJOR PROBLEM, MINOR PROBLEM, OR ISN'T REALLY A PROBLEM?
- 2 DO YOU HAVE A FAVORABLE OR UNFAVORABLE OPINION OF IRVINE RANCH WATER DISTRICT?
- 3 DO YOU HAVE A FAVORABLE OR UNFAVORABLE OPINION OF EAST ORANGE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT?
- 4 DO YOU HAVE A FAVORABLE OR UNFAVORABLE OPINION OF THE GOLDEN STATE WATER COMPANY?
- 5 HAVE YOU READ OR HEARD ANYTHING ABOUT THE POSSIBLE TRANSFER OF SEWER SERVICES IN OUR PART OF ORANGE COUNTY?
- 6 BASED ON WHAT YOU KNOW RIGHT NOW, WHO DO YOU FAVOR ASSUMING RESPONSIBILITY FOR OUR LOCAL SEWER SYSTEM?
- 7 CURRENTLY, THE IRVINE RANCH WATER DISTRICT CARRIES OVER \$500 MILLION IN DEBT. EAST ORANGE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT IS DEBT FREE.
- 8 ELECTED EAST ORANGE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT BOARD MEMBERS LIVE IN NORTH TUSTIN OR EAST ORANGE. IRVINE RANCH WATER DISTRICT BOARD MEMBERS ALL LIVE IN IRVINE OR NEWPORT COAST.
- 9 IRVINE RANCH WATER DISTRICT POINTS OUT THAT THEY HAVE PROVIDED SEWER SERVICE FOR 50 YEARS. THEY NOTE THAT THE EAST ORANGE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT HAS NEVER PROVIDED SEWER SERVICES.
- 10 THE GENERAL MANAGER OF THE EAST ORANGE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT HAS OVER 30 YEARS OF EXPERIENCE WORKING IN SEVERAL SEWER AGENCIES. EAST ORANGE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT WILL RETAIN THE SAME EXPERIENCED SEWER CREWS CURRENTLY HIRED...
- 11 EAST ORANGE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT RESPONSE TIME TO SEWER SPILLS WILL BE FASTER. IRVINE RANCH WATER DISTRICT IS LOCATED CLOSE TO UC IRVINE, SOUTH OF THE 405 FREEWAY.
- 12 SEWER BILLS TO CONSUMERS WOULD BE REDUCED BY THE SAME AMOUNT UNDER EITHER TRANSITION PLAN. HOWEVER DUE TO LOWER COSTS, SUCH AS LABOR, EAST ORANGE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT WILL INSPECT AND CLEAN THE SEWER PIPES TWICE AS OFTEN AS IRVINE...
- 13 WHEN CONSIDERING WHICH AGENCY SHOULD BE CHOSEN TO PROVIDE OUR LOCAL SEWER SERVICE, THE FOOTHILL COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION, SERRANO WATER DISTRICT, THE CITIES OF ORANGE, TUSTIN & VILLA PARK HAVE ALL TAKEN ACTION TO SUPPORT THE APPLICATION...
- 14 WITH ALL OF THIS ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, WHO DO YOU BELIEVE SHOULD BE CHOSEN TO PROVIDE SEWER SERVICES TO OUR LOCAL COMMUNITY?
- 15 THE IRVINE RANCH WATER DISTRICT IS INTERESTED IN ANNEXING OTHER WATER AGENCIES. IF THEY DO SO, THEY WILL HAVE ACCESS TO A GREATER SHARE OF OUR LOCAL SUPPLY OF WATER. THEY WILL NEED TO SEND MORE WATER TO IRVINE TO ACCOMMODATE...
- 16 GENDER
- 17 ARE YOU A REGISTERED VOTER? (IF YES, PARTY AFFILIATION FROM VOTER FILE ENTERED)
- 18 AGE GROUP
- 19 ETHNICITY
- 20 WATER SERVICE PROVIDER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

21 GEOGRAPHY BY CITY

22 VOTE PROPENSITY

23 TYPE OF VOTER

1 AS YOU KNOW THE DROUGHT IN CALIFORNIA HAS BEEN A MAJOR TOPIC OF CONVERSATION. DO YOU BELIEVE THE DROUGHT IS A MAJOR PROBLEM, MINOR PROBLEM, OR ISN'T REALLY A PROBLEM?

	WATER SERVICE PROVIDE						CITY		VOTE PROPENSITY					VOTER TYPE	
	TOTAL	CITY OF TUSTIN	SO CAL WATER CO	EOCWD	IRVINE RANCH WD	CITY OF ORANGE	TUSTIN	UNINCORP	4/4	3/4	2/4	1/4	NONE	PRMMENT ABSENTE	ELECTION DAY VOTR
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
TOTAL ANSWERING	300 100.00%	199 100.00%	50 100.00%	25 100.00%	17 100.00%	9 100.00%	86 100.00%	211 100.00%	109 100%	44 100%	60 100%	60 100%	27 100.0%	160 100.00%	140 100.00%
Major Problem	240 80.00%	161 80.90%	39 78.00%	19 76.00%	14 82.35%	7 77.78%	67 77.91%	171 81.04%	84 77.1%	38 86.4%	48 80.0%	48 80.0%	22 81.48%	137 85.62%	103 73.57%
Minor Problem	42 14.00%	27 13.57%	6 12.00%	6 24.00%	2 11.76%	1 11.11%	15 17.44%	27 12.80%	18 16.5%	6 13.6%	6 10.0%	9 15.0%	3 11.11%	16 10.00%	26 18.57%
Not Really a Problem	9 3.00%	6 3.02%	2 4.00%	-	-	1 11.11%	-	8 3.79%	3 2.75%	-	2 3.33%	2 3.33%	2 7.41%	3 1.88%	6 4.29%
Unsure/ Don't Know	9 3.00%	5 2.51%	3 6.00%	-	1 5.88%	-	4 4.65%	5 2.37%	4 3.67%	-	4 6.67%	1 1.67%	-	4 2.50%	5 3.57%

Comparison Groups: BCDEF/GH/IJKLM/NO  
 Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages  
 Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.  
 Lower case letters indicate significance at the 90% level.

2 DO YOU HAVE A FAVORABLE OR UNFAVORABLE OPINION OF IRVINE RANCH WATER DISTRICT?

	WATER SERVICE PROVIDE						CITY		VOTE PROPENSITY					VOTER TYPE	
	TOTAL	CITY OF TUSTIN	SO CAL WATER CO	EOCWD	IRVINE RANCH WD	CITY OF ORANGE	TUSTIN	UNINCORP	4/4	3/4	2/4	1/4	NONE	PRMNT ABSENTE	ELECTION DAY VOTR
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
TOTAL ANSWERING	300	199	50	25	17	9	86	211	109	44	60	60	27	160	140
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100.00%	100.00%
Favorable Opinion	54	39	5	4	6	-	23	31	17	9	10	12	6	29	25
	18.00%	19.60%	10.00%	16.00%	35.29%		26.74%	14.69%	15.6%	20.5%	16.7%	20.0%	22.22%	18.12%	17.86%
		c			C		H								
Unfavorable Opinion	41	20	9	7	1	4	8	32	17	8	8	6	2	27	14
	13.67%	10.05%	18.00%	28.00%	5.88%	44.44%	9.30%	15.17%	15.6%	18.2%	13.3%	10.0%	7.41%	16.88%	10.00%
				bE		BE								o	
No Opinion/ Don't Know	159	116	24	6	9	4	49	108	58	23	29	33	16	80	79
	53.00%	58.29%	48.00%	24.00%	52.94%	44.44%	56.98%	51.18%	53.2%	52.3%	48.3%	55.0%	59.26%	50.00%	56.43%
		D	D		d										
Never Heard	46	24	12	8	1	1	6	40	17	4	13	9	3	24	22
	15.33%	12.06%	24.00%	32.00%	5.88%	11.11%	6.98%	18.96%	15.6%	9.09%	21.7%	15.0%	11.11%	15.00%	15.71%
			bE	BE				G			j				

Comparison Groups: BCDEF/GH/IJKLM/NO  
 Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages  
 Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.  
 Lower case letters indicate significance at the 90% level.

3 DO YOU HAVE A FAVORABLE OR UNFAVORABLE OPINION OF EAST ORANGE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT?

	WATER SERVICE PROVIDE											CITY		VOTE PROPENSITY					VOTER TYPE	
	TOTAL	CITY OF TUSTIN	SO CAL WATER CO	EOCWD	IRVINE RANCH WD	CITY OF ORANGE	TUSTIN	UNINCORP	4/4	3/4	2/4	1/4	NONE	PRMNT ABSENTE	ELECTION DAY VOTR					
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)					
TOTAL ANSWERING	300	199	50	25	17	9	86	211	109	44	60	60	27	160	140					
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100.00%	100.00%					
Favorable Opinion	64	27	13	20	2	2	14	49	29	11	13	8	3	40	24					
	21.33%	13.57%	26.00%	80.00%	11.76%	22.22%	16.28%	23.22%	26.6%	25.0%	21.7%	13.3%	11.11%	25.00%	17.14%					
			b	BCEF					LM					o						
Unfavorable Opinion	19	11	5	-	-	3	3	15	4	1	9	5	-	8	11					
	6.33%	5.53%	10.00%			33.33%	3.49%	7.11%	3.67%	2.27%	15.0%	8.33%		5.00%	7.86%					
						b					IJ									
No Opinion/ Don't Know	148	112	22	3	8	3	47	100	56	22	24	30	16	72	76					
	49.33%	56.28%	44.00%	12.00%	47.06%	33.33%	54.65%	47.39%	51.4%	50.0%	40.0%	50.0%	59.26%	45.00%	54.29%					
		D	D		D								k							
Never Heard	69	49	10	2	7	1	22	47	20	10	14	17	8	40	29					
	23.00%	24.62%	20.00%	8.00%	41.18%	11.11%	25.58%	22.27%	18.3%	22.7%	23.3%	28.3%	29.63%	25.00%	20.71%					
		D			Df															

Comparison Groups: BCDEF/GH/IJKLM/NO  
 Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages  
 Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.  
 Lower case letters indicate significance at the 90% level.

4 DO YOU HAVE A FAVORABLE OR UNFAVORABLE OPINION OF THE GOLDEN STATE WATER COMPANY?

	WATER SERVICE PROVIDE						CITY						VOTE PROPENSITY					VOTER TYPE	
	TOTAL	CITY OF TUSTIN	SO CAL WATER CO	EOCWD	IRVINE RANCH WD	CITY OF ORANGE	TUSTIN	UNINCORP	4/4	3/4	2/4	1/4	NONE	PRMMENT ABSENTE	ELECTION DAY VOTR				
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)				
TOTAL ANSWERING	300	199	50	25	17	9	86	211	109	44	60	60	27	160	140				
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100.00%	100.00%				
Favorable Opinion	13	7	5	-	-	1	5	8	5	4	-	3	1	6	7				
	4.33%	3.52%	10.00%			11.11%	5.81%	3.79%	4.59%	9.09%		5.00%	3.70%	3.75%	5.00%				
Unfavorable Opinion	70	25	35	7	-	3	-	69	28	6	17	12	7	34	36				
	23.33%	12.56%	70.00%	28.00%		33.33%		32.70%	25.7%	13.6%	28.3%	20.0%	25.93%	21.25%	25.71%				
			BDF	b					j		j								
No Opinion/ Don't Know	117	95	7	6	7	2	43	74	35	21	23	27	11	62	55				
	39.00%	47.74%	14.00%	24.00%	41.18%	22.22%	50.00%	35.07%	32.1%	47.7%	38.3%	45.0%	40.74%	38.75%	39.29%				
		Cdf			C		H		i		i								
Never Heard	100	72	3	12	10	3	38	60	41	13	20	18	8	58	42				
	33.33%	36.18%	6.00%	48.00%	58.82%	33.33%	44.19%	28.44%	37.6%	29.5%	33.3%	30.0%	29.63%	36.25%	30.00%				
		C		C	bC	c	H												

Comparison Groups: BCDEF/GH/IJKLM/NO  
 Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages  
 Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.  
 Lower case letters indicate significance at the 90% level.

5 HAVE YOU READ OR HEARD ANYTHING ABOUT THE POSSIBLE TRANSFER OF SEWER SERVICES IN OUR PART OF ORANGE COUNTY?

	WATER SERVICE PROVIDE						CITY		VOTE PROPENSITY					VOTER TYPE	
	TOTAL	CITY OF TUSTIN	SO CAL WATER CO	EOCWD	IRVINE RANCH WD	CITY OF ORANGE	TUSTIN	UNINCORP	4/4	3/4	2/4	1/4	NONE	PRMNT ABSENTE	ELECTION DAY VOTR
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
TOTAL ANSWERING	300	199	50	25	17	9	86	211	109	44	60	60	27	160	140
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100.00%	100.00%
Yes	141	83	24	19	9	6	34	104	67	25	26	16	7	78	63
	47.00%	41.71%	48.00%	76.00%	52.94%	66.67%	39.53%	49.29%	61.5%	56.8%	43.3%	26.7%	25.93%	48.75%	45.00%
				BC					KLM	IM	1				
No	153	112	25	6	7	3	50	103	39	19	33	43	19	78	75
	51.00%	56.28%	50.00%	24.00%	41.18%	33.33%	58.14%	48.82%	35.8%	43.2%	55.0%	71.7%	70.37%	48.75%	53.57%
		D	D							I	IJK	IJ			
Unsure/ Don't Know	6	4	1	-	1	-	2	4	3	-	1	1	1	4	2
	2.00%	2.01%	2.00%		5.88%		2.33%	1.90%	2.75%		1.67%	1.67%	3.70%	2.50%	1.43%

Comparison Groups: BCDEF/GH/IJKLM/NO  
 Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages  
 Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.  
 Lower case letters indicate significance at the 90% level.

6 BASED ON WHAT YOU KNOW RIGHT NOW, WHO DO YOU FAVOR ASSUMING RESPONSIBILITY FOR OUR LOCAL SEWER SYSTEM?

	WATER SERVICE PROVIDE						CITY		VOTE PROPENSITY					VOTER TYPE	
	TOTAL	CITY OF TUSTIN	SO CAL WATER CO	EOCWD	IRVINE RANCH WD	CITY OF ORANGE	TUSTIN	UNINCORP	4/4	3/4	2/4	1/4	NONE	PRMNT ABSENTE	ELECTION DAY VOTR
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
TOTAL ANSWERING	300 100.00%	199 100.00%	50 100.00%	25 100.00%	17 100.00%	9 100.00%	86 100.00%	211 100.00%	109 100%	44 100%	60 100%	60 100%	27 100.0%	160 100.00%	140 100.00%
East Orange County Water District	193 64.33%	123 61.81%	31 62.00%	24 96.00%	11 64.71%	4 44.44%	59 68.60%	133 63.03%	73 67.0%	30 68.2%	35 58.3%	39 65.0%	16 59.26%	108 67.50%	85 60.71%
				BCEF											
Irvine Ranch Water District	25 8.33%	22 11.06%	2 4.00%	-	-	1 11.11%	11 12.79%	14 6.64%	6 5.50%	4 9.09%	3 5.00%	6 10.0%	6 22.22%	12 7.50%	13 9.29%
		C											IK		
Undecided/ No Preference	82 27.33%	54 27.14%	17 34.00%	1 4.00%	6 35.29%	4 44.44%	16 18.60%	64 30.33%	30 27.5%	10 22.7%	22 36.7%	15 25.0%	5 18.52%	40 25.00%	42 30.00%
		D	D		D	D		G			m				

Comparison Groups: BCDEF/GH/IJKLM/NO  
 Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages  
 Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.  
 Lower case letters indicate significance at the 90% level.



7 CURRENTLY, THE IRVINE RANCH WATER DISTRICT CARRIES OVER \$500 MILLION IN DEBT. EAST ORANGE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT IS DEBT FREE.

	WATER SERVICE PROVIDE											VOTER TYPE			
	TOTAL	CITY OF	SO CAL	EOCWD	IRVINE	CITY OF	CITY		VOTE PROPENSITY					PRMNT	ELECTION
		TUSTIN	WATER CO		RANCH WD	ORANGE	TUSTIN	UNINCORP	4/4	3/4	2/4	1/4	NONE	ABSENTE	DAY VOTR
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	
TOTAL ANSWERING	300	199	50	25	17	9	86	211	109	44	60	60	27	160	140
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100.00%	100.00%
IMPORTANT NET:	256	168	43	23	14	8	74	180	96	37	50	51	22	135	121
=====	85.33%	84.42%	86.00%	92.00%	82.35%	88.89%	86.05%	85.31%	88.1%	84.1%	83.3%	85.0%	81.48%	84.38%	86.43%
Extremely Important	188	124	29	20	9	6	51	135	69	29	40	39	11	99	89
	62.67%	62.31%	58.00%	80.00%	52.94%	66.67%	59.30%	63.98%	63.3%	65.9%	66.7%	65.0%	40.74%	61.88%	63.57%
				BCe					M	M	M	M			
Somewhat Important	68	44	14	3	5	2	23	45	27	8	10	12	11	36	32
	22.67%	22.11%	28.00%	12.00%	29.41%	22.22%	26.74%	21.33%	24.8%	18.2%	16.7%	20.0%	40.74%	22.50%	22.86%
			d										JKL		
Not That Important	12	6	3	2	1	-	4	8	1	2	5	2	2	5	7
	4.00%	3.02%	6.00%	8.00%	5.88%		4.65%	3.79%	0.92%	4.55%	8.33%	3.33%	7.41%	3.12%	5.00%
											I				
Not Important at All	20	18	2	-	-	-	5	15	7	3	3	5	2	11	9
	6.67%	9.05%	4.00%				5.81%	7.11%	6.42%	6.82%	5.00%	8.33%	7.41%	6.88%	6.43%
NOT IMPORTANT NET:	32	24	5	2	1	-	9	23	8	5	8	7	4	16	16
=====	10.67%	12.06%	10.00%	8.00%	5.88%		10.47%	10.90%	7.34%	11.4%	13.3%	11.7%	14.81%	10.00%	11.43%
Unsure/ Need More Info	12	7	2	-	2	1	3	8	5	2	2	2	1	9	3
	4.00%	3.52%	4.00%		11.76%	11.11%	3.49%	3.79%	4.59%	4.55%	3.33%	3.33%	3.70%	5.62%	2.14%

Comparison Groups: BCDEF/GH/IJKLM/NO  
 Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages  
 Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.  
 Lower case letters indicate significance at the 90% level.

8 ELECTED EAST ORANGE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT BOARD MEMBERS LIVE IN NORTH TUSTIN OR EAST ORANGE. IRVINE RANCH WATER DISTRICT BOARD MEMBERS ALL LIVE IN IRVINE OR NEWPORT COAST.

	WATER SERVICE PROVIDE						CITY		VOTE PROPENSITY					VOTER TYPE	
	TOTAL	CITY OF TUSTIN	SO CAL WATER CO	EOCWD	IRVINE RANCH WD	CITY OF ORANGE	TUSTIN	UNINCORP	4/4	3/4	2/4	1/4	NONE	PRMNET ABSENTE	ELECTION DAY VOTR
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
TOTAL ANSWERING	300 100.00%	199 100.00%	50 100.00%	25 100.00%	17 100.00%	9 100.00%	86 100.00%	211 100.00%	109 100%	44 100%	60 100%	60 100%	27 100.0%	160 100.00%	140 100.00%
IMPORTANT NET: =====	206 68.67%	131 65.83%	36 72.00%	23 92.00%	11 64.71%	5 55.56%	63 73.26%	141 66.82%	80 73.4%	28 63.6%	40 66.7%	42 70.0%	16 59.26%	107 66.88%	99 70.71%
Extremely Important	134 44.67%	82 41.21%	26 52.00%	16 64.00%	7 41.18%	3 33.33%	37 43.02%	95 45.02%	58 53.2%	17 38.6%	28 46.7%	22 36.7%	9 33.33%	68 42.50%	66 47.14%
Somewhat Important	72 24.00%	49 24.62%	10 20.00%	7 28.00%	4 23.53%	2 22.22%	26 30.23%	46 21.80%	22 20.2%	11 25.0%	12 20.0%	20 33.3%	7 25.93%	39 24.38%	33 23.57%
Not That Important	38 12.67%	29 14.57%	6 12.00%	-	3 17.65%	-	7 8.14%	31 14.69%	12 11.0%	5 11.4%	8 13.3%	7 11.7%	6 22.22%	25 15.62%	13 9.29%
Not Important at All	45 15.00%	34 17.09%	6 12.00%	1 4.00%	1 5.88%	3 33.33%	12 13.95%	33 15.64%	12 11.0%	8 18.2%	11 18.3%	9 15.0%	5 18.52%	22 13.75%	23 16.43%
NOT IMPORTANT NET: =====	83 27.67%	63 31.66%	12 24.00%	1 4.00%	4 23.53%	3 33.33%	19 22.09%	64 30.33%	24 22.0%	13 29.5%	19 31.7%	16 26.7%	11 40.74%	47 29.38%	36 25.71%
Unsure/ Need More Info	11 3.67%	5 2.51%	2 4.00%	1 4.00%	2 11.76%	1 11.11%	4 4.65%	6 2.84%	5 4.59%	3 6.82%	1 1.67%	2 3.33%	-	6 3.75%	5 3.57%

Comparison Groups: BCDEF/GH/IJKLM/NO  
 Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages  
 Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.  
 Lower case letters indicate significance at the 90% level.

9 IRVINE RANCH WATER DISTRICT POINTS OUT THAT THEY HAVE PROVIDED SEWER SERVICE FOR 50 YEARS. THEY NOTE THAT THE EAST ORANGE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT HAS NEVER PROVIDED SEWER SERVICES.

	WATER SERVICE PROVIDE						CITY							VOTE PROPENSITY		VOTER TYPE	
	TOTAL	CITY OF TUSTIN	SO CAL WATER CO	EOCWD	IRVINE RANCH WD	CITY OF ORANGE	TUSTIN	UNINCORP	4/4	3/4	2/4	1/4	NONE	PRMMENT ABSENTE	ELECTION DAY VOTR		
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)		
TOTAL ANSWERING	300 100.00%	199 100.00%	50 100.00%	25 100.00%	17 100.00%	9 100.00%	86 100.00%	211 100.00%	109 100%	44 100%	60 100%	60 100%	27 100.0%	160 100.00%	140 100.00%		
IMPORTANT NET: =====	209 69.67%	141 70.85%	36 72.00%	12 48.00%	14 82.35%	6 66.67%	67 77.91%	139 65.88%	70 64.2%	33 75.0%	39 65.0%	46 76.7%	21 77.78%	110 68.75%	99 70.71%		
Extremely Important	93 31.00%	65 32.66%	13 26.00%	5 20.00%	6 35.29%	4 44.44%	32 37.21%	58 27.49%	31 28.4%	14 31.8%	16 26.7%	17 28.3%	15 55.56%	50 31.25%	43 30.71%		
Somewhat Important	116 38.67%	76 38.19%	23 46.00%	7 28.00%	8 47.06%	2 22.22%	35 40.70%	81 38.39%	39 35.8%	19 43.2%	23 38.3%	29 48.3%	6 22.22%	60 37.50%	56 40.00%		
Not That Important	40 13.33%	25 12.56%	5 10.00%	6 24.00%	2 11.76%	2 22.22%	7 8.14%	33 15.64%	15 13.8%	7 15.9%	12 20.0%	4 6.67%	2 7.41%	21 13.12%	19 13.57%		
Not Important at All	31 10.33%	18 9.05%	8 16.00%	4 16.00%	-	1 11.11%	6 6.98%	25 11.85%	15 13.8%	2 4.55%	5 8.33%	6 10.0%	3 11.11%	18 11.25%	13 9.29%		
NOT IMPORTANT NET: =====	71 23.67%	43 21.61%	13 26.00%	10 40.00%	2 11.76%	3 33.33%	13 15.12%	58 27.49%	30 27.5%	9 20.5%	17 28.3%	10 16.7%	5 18.52%	39 24.38%	32 22.86%		
Unsure/ Need More Info	20 6.67%	15 7.54%	1 2.00%	3 12.00%	1 5.88%	-	6 6.98%	14 6.64%	9 8.26%	2 4.55%	4 6.67%	4 6.67%	1 3.70%	11 6.88%	9 6.43%		

Comparison Groups: BCDEF/GH/IJKLM/NO  
 Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages  
 Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.  
 Lower case letters indicate significance at the 90% level.

10 THE GENERAL MANAGER OF THE EAST ORANGE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT HAS OVER 30 YEARS OF EXPERIENCE WORKING IN SEVERAL SEWER AGENCIES. EAST ORANGE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT WILL RETAIN THE SAME EXPERIENCED SEWER CREWS CURRENTLY HIRED...

	WATER SERVICE PROVIDE						CITY		VOTE PROPENSITY					VOTER TYPE	
	TOTAL	CITY OF TUSTIN	SO CAL WATER CO	EOCWD	IRVINE RANCH WD	CITY OF ORANGE	TUSTIN	UNINCORP	4/4	3/4	2/4	1/4	NONE	PRMMENT ABSENTE	ELECTION DAY VOTR
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
TOTAL ANSWERING	300 100.00%	199 100.00%	50 100.00%	25 100.00%	17 100.00%	9 100.00%	86 100.00%	211 100.00%	109 100%	44 100%	60 100%	60 100%	27 100.0%	160 100.00%	140 100.00%
IMPORTANT NET: =====	256 85.33%	164 82.41%	45 90.00%	23 92.00%	16 94.12%	8 88.89%	75 87.21%	178 84.36%	90 82.6%	38 86.4%	51 85.0%	52 86.7%	25 92.59%	135 84.38%	121 86.43%
					b										
Extremely Important	159 53.00%	97 48.74%	32 64.00%	15 60.00%	9 52.94%	6 66.67%	41 47.67%	115 54.50%	54 49.5%	25 56.8%	33 55.0%	33 55.0%	14 51.85%	86 53.75%	73 52.14%
			B												
Somewhat Important	97 32.33%	67 33.67%	13 26.00%	8 32.00%	7 41.18%	2 22.22%	34 39.53%	63 29.86%	36 33.0%	13 29.5%	18 30.0%	19 31.7%	11 40.74%	49 30.62%	48 34.29%
Not That Important	12 4.00%	10 5.03%	1 2.00%	1 4.00%	-	-	3 3.49%	9 4.27%	6 5.50%	-	4 6.67%	2 3.33%	-	7 4.38%	5 3.57%
Not Important at All	19 6.33%	14 7.04%	3 6.00%	1 4.00%	-	1 11.11%	3 3.49%	16 7.58%	7 6.42%	3 6.82%	4 6.67%	4 6.67%	1 3.70%	10 6.25%	9 6.43%
NOT IMPORTANT NET: =====	31 10.33%	24 12.06%	4 8.00%	2 8.00%	-	1 11.11%	6 6.98%	25 11.85%	13 11.9%	3 6.82%	8 13.3%	6 10.0%	1 3.70%	17 10.62%	14 10.00%
									m		m				
Unsure/ Need More Info	13 4.33%	11 5.53%	1 2.00%	-	1 5.88%	-	5 5.81%	8 3.79%	6 5.50%	3 6.82%	1 1.67%	2 3.33%	1 3.70%	8 5.00%	5 3.57%

Comparison Groups: BCDEF/GH/IJKLM/NO  
 Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages  
 Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.  
 Lower case letters indicate significance at the 90% level.

11 EAST ORANGE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT RESPONSE TIME TO SEWER SPILLS WILL BE FASTER. IRVINE RANCH WATER DISTRICT IS LOCATED CLOSE TO UC IRVINE, SOUTH OF THE 405 FREEWAY.

	WATER SERVICE PROVIDE						CITY		VOTE PROPENSITY					VOTER TYPE	
	TOTAL	CITY OF TUSTIN	SO CAL WATER CO	EOCWD	IRVINE RANCH WD	CITY OF ORANGE	TUSTIN	UNINCORP	4/4	3/4	2/4	1/4	NONE	PRMMENT ABSENTE	ELECTION DAY VOTR
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
TOTAL ANSWERING	300 100.00%	199 100.00%	50 100.00%	25 100.00%	17 100.00%	9 100.00%	86 100.00%	211 100.00%	109 100%	44 100%	60 100%	60 100%	27 100.0%	160 100.00%	140 100.00%
IMPORTANT NET: =====	222 74.00%	144 72.36%	39 78.00%	23 92.00%	11 64.71%	5 55.56%	66 76.74%	155 73.46%	82 75.2%	35 79.5%	41 68.3%	44 73.3%	20 74.07%	116 72.50%	106 75.71%
Extremely Important	138 46.00%	88 44.22%	23 46.00%	17 68.00%	7 41.18%	3 33.33%	41 47.67%	96 45.50%	51 46.8%	22 50.0%	26 43.3%	26 43.3%	13 48.15%	67 41.88%	71 50.71%
Somewhat Important	84 28.00%	56 28.14%	16 32.00%	6 24.00%	4 23.53%	2 22.22%	25 29.07%	59 27.96%	31 28.4%	13 29.5%	15 25.0%	18 30.0%	7 25.93%	49 30.62%	35 25.00%
Not That Important	35 11.67%	24 12.06%	6 12.00%	1 4.00%	2 11.76%	2 22.22%	8 9.30%	26 12.32%	9 8.26%	3 6.82%	9 15.0%	11 18.3%	3 11.11%	18 11.25%	17 12.14%
Not Important at All	30 10.00%	21 10.55%	4 8.00%	1 4.00%	2 11.76%	2 22.22%	8 9.30%	21 9.95%	13 11.9%	4 9.09%	6 10.0%	5 8.33%	2 7.41%	14 8.75%	16 11.43%
NOT IMPORTANT NET: =====	65 21.67%	45 22.61%	10 20.00%	2 8.00%	4 23.53%	4 44.44%	16 18.60%	47 22.27%	22 20.2%	7 15.9%	15 25.0%	16 26.7%	5 18.52%	32 20.00%	33 23.57%
Unsure/ Need More Info	13 4.33%	10 5.03%	1 2.00%	-	2 11.76%	-	4 4.65%	9 4.27%	5 4.59%	2 4.55%	4 6.67%	-	2 7.41%	12 7.50%	1 0.71%

Comparison Groups: BCDEF/GH/IJKLM/NO  
 Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages  
 Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.  
 Lower case letters indicate significance at the 90% level.

12 SEWER BILLS TO CONSUMERS WOULD BE REDUCED BY THE SAME AMOUNT UNDER EITHER TRANSITION PLAN. HOWEVER DUE TO LOWER COSTS, SUCH AS LABOR, EAST ORANGE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT WILL INSPECT AND CLEAN THE SEWER PIPES TWICE AS OFTEN AS IRVINE...

	WATER SERVICE PROVIDE						CITY		VOTE PROPENSITY					VOTER TYPE	
	TOTAL	CITY OF TUSTIN	SO CAL WATER CO	EOCWD	IRVINE RANCH WD	CITY OF ORANGE	TUSTIN	UNINCORP	4/4	3/4	2/4	1/4	NONE	PRMMENT ABSENTE	ELECTION DAY VOTR
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
TOTAL ANSWERING	300 100.00%	199 100.00%	50 100.00%	25 100.00%	17 100.00%	9 100.00%	86 100.00%	211 100.00%	109 100%	44 100%	60 100%	60 100%	27 100.0%	160 100.00%	140 100.00%
IMPORTANT NET: =====	255 85.00%	165 82.91%	46 92.00%	23 92.00%	13 76.47%	8 88.89%	76 88.37%	176 83.41%	94 86.2%	35 79.5%	49 81.7%	56 93.3%	21 77.78%	131 81.88%	124 88.57%
			b									JkM			n
Extremely Important	186 62.00%	120 60.30%	29 58.00%	20 80.00%	11 64.71%	6 66.67%	55 63.95%	128 60.66%	69 63.3%	27 61.4%	37 61.7%	35 58.3%	18 66.67%	93 58.12%	93 66.43%
				BC											
Somewhat Important	69 23.00%	45 22.61%	17 34.00%	3 12.00%	2 11.76%	2 22.22%	21 24.42%	48 22.75%	25 22.9%	8 18.2%	12 20.0%	21 35.0%	3 11.11%	38 23.75%	31 22.14%
			DE									JkM			
Not That Important	14 4.67%	10 5.03%	2 4.00%	1 4.00%	1 5.88%	-	3 3.49%	11 5.21%	4 3.67%	1 2.27%	7 11.7%	1 1.67%	1 3.70%	6 3.75%	8 5.71%
											iJL				
Not Important at All	13 4.33%	10 5.03%	2 4.00%	-	-	1 11.11%	1 1.16%	12 5.69%	3 2.75%	2 4.55%	3 5.00%	3 5.00%	2 7.41%	9 5.62%	4 2.86%
								G							
NOT IMPORTANT NET: =====	27 9.00%	20 10.05%	4 8.00%	1 4.00%	1 5.88%	1 11.11%	4 4.65%	23 10.90%	7 6.42%	3 6.82%	10 16.7%	4 6.67%	3 11.11%	15 9.38%	12 8.57%
								G			il				
Unsure/ Need More Info	18 6.00%	14 7.04%	-	1 4.00%	3 17.65%	-	6 6.98%	12 5.69%	8 7.34%	6 13.6%	1 1.67%	-	3 11.11%	14 8.75%	4 2.86%
									k	K				O	

Comparison Groups: BCDEF/GH/IJKLM/NO  
 Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages  
 Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.  
 Lower case letters indicate significance at the 90% level.

13 WHEN CONSIDERING WHICH AGENCY SHOULD BE CHOSEN TO PROVIDE OUR LOCAL SEWER SERVICE, THE FOOTHILL COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION, SERRANO WATER DISTRICT, THE CITIES OF ORANGE, TUSTIN & VILLA PARK HAVE ALL TAKEN ACTION TO SUPPORT THE APPLICATION...

	WATER SERVICE PROVIDE						CITY		VOTE PROPENSITY					VOTER TYPE	
	TOTAL	CITY OF TUSTIN	SO CAL WATER CO	EOCWD	IRVINE RANCH WD	CITY OF ORANGE	TUSTIN	UNINCORP	4/4	3/4	2/4	1/4	NONE	PRMNT ABSENTE	ELECTION DAY VOTR
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
TOTAL ANSWERING	300 100.00%	199 100.00%	50 100.00%	25 100.00%	17 100.00%	9 100.00%	86 100.00%	211 100.00%	109 100%	44 100%	60 100%	60 100%	27 100.0%	160 100.00%	140 100.00%
IMPORTANT NET: =====	254 84.67%	162 81.41%	47 94.00%	23 92.00%	14 82.35%	8 88.89%	75 87.21%	177 83.89%	95 87.2%	40 90.9%	47 78.3%	51 85.0%	21 77.78%	134 83.75%	120 85.71%
			B	b						k					
Extremely Important	173 57.67%	102 51.26%	36 72.00%	21 84.00%	9 52.94%	5 55.56%	47 54.65%	125 59.24%	64 58.7%	28 63.6%	34 56.7%	35 58.3%	12 44.44%	86 53.75%	87 62.14%
			B	BE											
Somewhat Important	81 27.00%	60 30.15%	11 22.00%	2 8.00%	5 29.41%	3 33.33%	28 32.56%	52 24.64%	31 28.4%	12 27.3%	13 21.7%	16 26.7%	9 33.33%	48 30.00%	33 23.57%
		D	d		d										
Not That Important	18 6.00%	12 6.03%	2 4.00%	1 4.00%	2 11.76%	1 11.11%	5 5.81%	12 5.69%	5 4.59%	-	4 6.67%	6 10.0%	3 11.11%	10 6.25%	8 5.71%
Not Important at All	16 5.33%	15 7.54%	-	1 4.00%	-	-	4 4.65%	12 5.69%	5 4.59%	2 4.55%	5 8.33%	3 5.00%	1 3.70%	7 4.38%	9 6.43%
NOT IMPORTANT NET: =====	34 11.33%	27 13.57%	2 4.00%	2 8.00%	2 11.76%	1 11.11%	9 10.47%	24 11.37%	10 9.17%	2 4.55%	9 15.0%	9 15.0%	4 14.81%	17 10.62%	17 12.14%
		C								j	j				
Unsure/ Need More Info	12 4.00%	10 5.03%	1 2.00%	-	1 5.88%	-	2 2.33%	10 4.74%	4 3.67%	2 4.55%	4 6.67%	-	2 7.41%	9 5.62%	3 2.14%

Comparison Groups: BCDEF/GH/IJKLM/NO  
 Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages  
 Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.  
 Lower case letters indicate significance at the 90% level.

14 WITH ALL OF THIS ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, WHO DO YOU BELIEVE SHOULD BE CHOSEN TO PROVIDE SEWER SERVICES TO OUR LOCAL COMMUNITY?

	WATER SERVICE PROVIDE						CITY		VOTE PROPENSITY					VOTER TYPE	
	CITY OF	SO CAL		IRVINE	CITY OF								PRMNT	ELECTION	
	TUSTIN	WATER CO	EOCWD	RANCH WD	ORANGE	TUSTIN	UNINCORP	4/4	3/4	2/4	1/4	NONE	ABSENTE	DAY VOTR	
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	
TOTAL ANSWERING	300	199	50	25	17	9	86	211	109	44	60	60	27	160	140
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100.00%	100.00%
East Orange County Water District	232	147	42	24	12	7	68	162	84	32	48	48	20	122	110
	77.33%	73.87%	84.00%	96.00%	70.59%	77.78%	79.07%	76.78%	77.1%	72.7%	80.0%	80.0%	74.07%	76.25%	78.57%
			b	BcE											
Irvine Ranch Water District	15	13	-	-	2	-	9	6	4	2	3	2	4	6	9
	5.00%	6.53%			11.76%		10.47%	2.84%	3.67%	4.55%	5.00%	3.33%	14.81%	3.75%	6.43%
							H								
Undecided/ No Preference	53	39	8	1	3	2	9	43	21	10	9	10	3	32	21
	17.67%	19.60%	16.00%	4.00%	17.65%	22.22%	10.47%	20.38%	19.3%	22.7%	15.0%	16.7%	11.11%	20.00%	15.00%
		D	d					G							

Comparison Groups: BCDEF/GH/IJKLM/NO  
 Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages  
 Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.  
 Lower case letters indicate significance at the 90% level.



15 THE IRVINE RANCH WATER DISTRICT IS INTERESTED IN ANNEXING OTHER WATER AGENCIES. IF THEY DO SO, THEY WILL HAVE ACCESS TO A GREATER SHARE OF OUR LOCAL SUPPLY OF WATER. THEY WILL NEED TO SEND MORE WATER TO IRVINE TO ACCOMMODATE...

	WATER SERVICE PROVIDE						CITY		VOTE PROPENSITY					VOTER TYPE	
	TOTAL	CITY OF TUSTIN	SO CAL WATER CO	EOCWD	IRVINE RANCH WD	CITY OF ORANGE	TUSTIN	UNINCORP	4/4	3/4	2/4	1/4	NONE	PRMMENT ABSENTE	ELECTION DAY VOTR
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
TOTAL ANSWERING	300 100.00%	199 100.00%	50 100.00%	25 100.00%	17 100.00%	9 100.00%	86 100.00%	211 100.00%	109 100%	44 100%	60 100%	60 100%	27 100.0%	160 100.00%	140 100.00%
IMPORTANT NET: =====	258 86.00%	171 85.93%	44 88.00%	22 88.00%	14 82.35%	7 77.78%	73 84.88%	182 86.26%	91 83.5%	35 79.5%	53 88.3%	55 91.7%	24 88.89%	135 84.38%	123 87.86%
Extremely Important	192 64.00%	126 63.32%	31 62.00%	21 84.00% BCE	9 52.94%	5 55.56%	55 63.95%	134 63.51%	74 67.9%	28 63.6%	34 56.7%	39 65.0%	17 62.96%	103 64.38%	89 63.57%
Somewhat Important	66 22.00%	45 22.61% D	13 26.00% D	1 4.00%	5 29.41% D	2 22.22%	18 20.93%	48 22.75%	17 15.6%	7 15.9%	19 31.7% Ij	16 26.7% i	7 25.93%	32 20.00%	34 24.29%
Not That Important	11 3.67%	5 2.51%	3 6.00%	2 8.00%	1 5.88%	-	2 2.33%	9 4.27%	5 4.59%	2 4.55%	3 5.00%	-	1 3.70%	7 4.38%	4 2.86%
Not Important at All	19 6.33%	13 6.53%	2 4.00%	-	2 11.76%	2 22.22%	7 8.14%	12 5.69%	9 8.26% K	5 11.4% k	1 1.67%	2 3.33%	2 7.41%	11 6.88%	8 5.71%
NOT IMPORTANT NET: =====	30 10.00%	18 9.05%	5 10.00%	2 8.00%	3 17.65%	2 22.22%	9 10.47%	21 9.95%	14 12.8% L	7 15.9% L	4 6.67%	2 3.33%	3 11.11%	18 11.25%	12 8.57%
Unsure/ Need More Info	12 4.00%	10 5.03%	1 2.00%	1 4.00%	-	-	4 4.65%	8 3.79%	4 3.67%	2 4.55%	3 5.00%	3 5.00%	-	7 4.38%	5 3.57%

Comparison Groups: BCDEF/GH/IJKLM/NO  
 Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages  
 Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.  
 Lower case letters indicate significance at the 90% level.

16 GENDER

	WATER SERVICE PROVIDE						CITY		VOTE PROPENSITY					VOTER TYPE	
	TOTAL	CITY OF TUSTIN	SO CAL WATER CO	EOCWD	IRVINE RANCH WD	CITY OF ORANGE	TUSTIN	UNINCORP	4/4	3/4	2/4	1/4	NONE	PRMNT ABSENTE	ELECTION DAY VOTR
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
<b>TOTAL ANSWERING</b>	300	199	50	25	17	9	86	211	109	44	60	60	27	160	140
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100.00%	100.00%
<b>Male</b>	146	102	22	11	8	3	45	99	47	17	34	33	15	77	69
	48.67%	51.26%	44.00%	44.00%	47.06%	33.33%	52.33%	46.92%	43.1%	38.6%	56.7%	55.0%	55.56%	48.12%	49.29%
											ij	j			
<b>Female</b>	154	97	28	14	9	6	41	112	62	27	26	27	12	83	71
	51.33%	48.74%	56.00%	56.00%	52.94%	66.67%	47.67%	53.08%	56.9%	61.4%	43.3%	45.0%	44.44%	51.88%	50.71%
									k	kl					

Comparison Groups: BCDEF/GH/IJKLM/NO  
 Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages  
 Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.  
 Lower case letters indicate significance at the 90% level.

17 ARE YOU A REGISTERED VOTER? (IF YES, PARTY AFFILIATION FROM VOTER FILE ENTERED)

	WATER SERVICE PROVIDE						CITY		VOTE PROPENSITY					VOTER TYPE	
	TOTAL	CITY OF TUSTIN	SO CAL WATER CO	EOCWD	IRVINE RANCH WD	CITY OF ORANGE	TUSTIN	UNINCORP	4/4	3/4	2/4	1/4	NONE	PRMNT ABSENTE	ELECTION DAY VOTR
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
TOTAL ANSWERING	300	199	50	25	17	9	86	211	109	44	60	60	27	160	140
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100.00%	100.00%
Republican	167	110	27	15	10	5	45	120	74	20	31	33	9	95	72
	55.67%	55.28%	54.00%	60.00%	58.82%	55.56%	52.33%	56.87%	67.9% JKLM	45.5%	51.7% m	55.0% m	33.33%	59.38%	51.43%
Democrat	72	50	12	3	4	3	22	50	25	13	13	12	9	36	36
	24.00%	25.13% d	24.00%	12.00%	23.53%	33.33%	25.58%	23.70%	22.9%	29.5%	21.7%	20.0%	33.33%	22.50%	25.71%
Decline to State	45	27	9	6	3	-	15	30	8	9	11	13	4	23	22
	15.00%	13.57%	18.00%	24.00%	17.65%		17.44%	14.22%	7.34%	20.5% I	18.3% I	21.7% I	14.81%	14.38%	15.71%
Other	10	6	2	1	-	1	-	9	2	1	3	1	3	3	7
	3.33%	3.02%	4.00%	4.00%		11.11%		4.27%	1.83%	2.27%	5.00%	1.67%	11.11%	1.88%	5.00%
Not Registered to Vote	6	6	-	-	-	-	4	2	-	1	2	1	2	3	3
	2.00%	3.02%					4.65%	0.95%		2.27%	3.33%	1.67%	7.41%	1.88%	2.14%

Comparison Groups: BCDEF/GH/IJKLM/NO  
 Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages  
 Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.  
 Lower case letters indicate significance at the 90% level.

18 AGE GROUP

	WATER SERVICE PROVIDE						CITY		VOTE PROPENSITY					VOTER TYPE	
	CITY OF	SO CAL	EOCWD	IRVINE	CITY OF	TUSTIN	UNINCORP	4/4	3/4	2/4	1/4	NONE	PRMNET	ELECTION	
	TUSTIN	WATER CO		RANCH WD	ORANGE										ABSENTE
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	
TOTAL ANSWERING	300	199	50	25	17	9	86	211	109	44	60	60	27	160	140
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100.00%	100.00%
18 to 29	30	23	7	-	-	-	13	17	-	3	4	11	12	11	19
	10.00%	11.56%	14.00%				15.12%	8.06%		6.82%	6.67%	18.3%	44.44%	6.88%	13.57%
											JK		JKL		n
30 to 44	37	30	3	-	2	2	12	25	4	5	12	11	5	17	20
	12.33%	15.08%	6.00%		11.76%	22.22%	13.95%	11.85%	3.67%	11.4%	20.0%	18.3%	18.52%	10.62%	14.29%
		C									I	I	i		
45 to 54	42	23	15	2	1	1	5	37	8	6	17	8	3	21	21
	14.00%	11.56%	30.00%	8.00%	5.88%	11.11%	5.81%	17.54%	7.34%	13.6%	28.3%	13.3%	11.11%	13.12%	15.00%
			BDE					G			IjLM				
55 to 64	61	38	13	4	2	4	12	48	24	6	15	14	2	29	32
	20.33%	19.10%	26.00%	16.00%	11.76%	44.44%	13.95%	22.75%	22.0%	13.6%	25.0%	23.3%	7.41%	18.12%	22.86%
						e		g	M		M	M			
65 to 74	65	38	8	13	5	1	22	42	33	17	6	6	3	38	27
	21.67%	19.10%	16.00%	52.00%	29.41%	11.11%	25.58%	19.91%	30.3%	38.6%	10.0%	10.0%	11.11%	23.75%	19.29%
				BCF					KLM	KLM					
75 or Older	65	47	4	6	7	1	22	42	40	7	6	10	2	44	21
	21.67%	23.62%	8.00%	24.00%	41.18%	11.11%	25.58%	19.91%	36.7%	15.9%	10.0%	16.7%	7.41%	27.50%	15.00%
		C		c	Cf				JKLM					O	

Comparison Groups: BCDEF/GH/IJKLM/NO  
 Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages  
 Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.  
 Lower case letters indicate significance at the 90% level.

19 ETHNICITY

	WATER SERVICE PROVIDE						CITY		VOTE PROPENSITY					VOTER TYPE	
	TOTAL	CITY OF TUSTIN	SO CAL WATER CO	EOCWD	IRVINE RANCH WD	CITY OF ORANGE	TUSTIN	UNINCORP	4/4	3/4	2/4	1/4	NONE	PRMNT ABSENTE	ELECTION DAY VOTR
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
TOTAL ANSWERING	300	199	50	25	17	9	86	211	109	44	60	60	27	160	140
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100.00%	100.00%
White	250	164	43	22	14	7	68	180	100	35	45	50	20	132	118
	83.33%	82.41%	86.00%	88.00%	82.35%	77.78%	79.07%	85.31%	91.7%	79.5%	75.0%	83.3%	74.07%	82.50%	84.29%
									jKM						
Hispanic	19	18	-	-	-	1	9	10	2	3	6	4	4	9	10
	6.33%	9.05%				11.11%	10.47%	4.74%	1.83%	6.82%	10.0%	6.67%	14.81%	5.62%	7.14%
											I		i		
Asian	15	10	2	1	2	-	6	9	3	2	5	4	1	11	4
	5.00%	5.03%	4.00%	4.00%	11.76%		6.98%	4.27%	2.75%	4.55%	8.33%	6.67%	3.70%	6.88%	2.86%
Other	4	1	2	1	-	-	1	3	1	1	1	-	1	3	1
	1.33%	0.50%	4.00%	4.00%			1.16%	1.42%	0.92%	2.27%	1.67%		3.70%	1.88%	0.71%
Native-American	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1
	0.33%	0.50%					1.16%				1.67%				0.71%
Refused	11	5	3	1	1	1	1	9	3	3	2	2	1	5	6
	3.67%	2.51%	6.00%	4.00%	5.88%	11.11%	1.16%	4.27%	2.75%	6.82%	3.33%	3.33%	3.70%	3.12%	4.29%
															g

Comparison Groups: BCDEF/GH/IJKLM/NO  
 Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages  
 Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.  
 Lower case letters indicate significance at the 90% level.

20 WATER SERVICE PROVIDER

	WATER SERVICE PROVIDE						CITY						VOTE PROPENSITY					VOTER TYPE	
	TOTAL	CITY OF TUSTIN	SO CAL WATER CO	EOCWD	IRVINE RANCH WD	CITY OF ORANGE	TUSTIN	UNINCORP	4/4	3/4	2/4	1/4	NONE	PRMNT ABSENTE	ELECTION DAY VOTR				
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)				
TOTAL ANSWERING	300	199	50	25	17	9	86	211	109	44	60	60	27	160	140				
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100.00%	100.00%				
City of Tustin Water Department	199	199	-	-	-	-	69	130	68	26	41	43	21	105	94				
	66.33%	100.00%					80.23%	61.61%	62.4%	59.1%	68.3%	71.7%	77.78%	65.62%	67.14%				
							H						ij						
Southern California Water Company	50	-	50	-	-	-	-	50	19	6	11	10	4	27	23				
	16.67%		100.00%					23.70%	17.4%	13.6%	18.3%	16.7%	14.81%	16.88%	16.43%				
East Orange County Water District	25	-	-	25	-	-	-	25	12	4	4	4	1	13	12				
	8.33%			100.00%				11.85%	11.0%	9.09%	6.67%	6.67%	3.70%	8.12%	8.57%				
Irvine Ranch Water District	17	-	-	-	17	-	17	-	8	4	2	2	1	11	6				
	5.67%				100.00%		19.77%		7.34%	9.09%	3.33%	3.33%	3.70%	6.88%	4.29%				
City of Orange Water Service	9	-	-	-	-	9	-	6	2	4	2	1	-	4	5				
	3.00%					100.00%		2.84%	1.83%	9.09%	3.33%	1.67%		2.50%	3.57%				

Comparison Groups: BCDEF/GH/IJKLM/NO  
 Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages  
 Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.  
 Lower case letters indicate significance at the 90% level.

21 GEOGRAPHY BY CITY

	WATER SERVICE PROVIDE					CITY		VOTE PROPENSITY					VOTER TYPE		
	TOTAL	CITY OF TUSTIN	SO CAL WATER CO	EOCWD	IRVINE RANCH WD	CITY OF ORANGE	TUSTIN	UNINCORP	4/4	3/4	2/4	1/4	NONE	PRMNT ABSENTE	ELECTION DAY VOTR
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
TOTAL ANSWERING	300	199	50	25	17	9	86	211	109	44	60	60	27	160	140
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100.00%	100.00%
Tustin	86	69	-	-	17	-	86	-	32	15	9	21	9	44	42
	28.67%	34.67%			100.00%		100.00%		29.4%	34.1%	15.0%	35.0%	33.33%	27.50%	30.00%
					B				K	K		K	k		
Unincorporated	211	130	50	25	-	6	-	211	76	27	51	39	18	116	95
	70.33%	65.33%	100.00%	100.00%		66.67%		100.00%	69.7%	61.4%	85.0%	65.0%	66.67%	72.50%	67.86%
			BF	BF							IJLm				
Other	3	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	1	2	-	-	-	-	3
	1.00%					33.33%			0.92%	4.55%					2.14%

Comparison Groups: BCDEF/GH/IJKLM/NO  
 Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages  
 Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.  
 Lower case letters indicate significance at the 90% level.

22 VOTE PROPENSITY

	WATER SERVICE PROVIDE						CITY		VOTE PROPENSITY					VOTER TYPE	
	TOTAL	CITY OF TUSTIN	SO CAL WATER CO	EOCWD	IRVINE RANCH WD	CITY OF ORANGE	TUSTIN	UNINCORP	4/4	3/4	2/4	1/4	NONE	PRMNT ABSENTE	ELECTION DAY VOTR
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
TOTAL ANSWERING	300	199	50	25	17	9	86	211	109	44	60	60	27	160	140
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100.00%	100.00%
4 out of 4	109	68	19	12	8	2	32	76	109	-	-	-	-	71	38
	36.33%	34.17%	38.00%	48.00%	47.06%	22.22%	37.21%	36.02%	100%					44.38%	27.14%
														O	
3 out of 4	44	26	6	4	4	4	15	27	-	44	-	-	-	24	20
	14.67%	13.07%	12.00%	16.00%	23.53%	44.44%	17.44%	12.80%		100%				15.00%	14.29%
						bc									
2 out of 4	60	41	11	4	2	2	9	51	-	-	60	-	-	28	32
	20.00%	20.60%	22.00%	16.00%	11.76%	22.22%	10.47%	24.17%			100%			17.50%	22.86%
								G							
1 out of 4	60	43	10	4	2	1	21	39	-	-	-	60	-	23	37
	20.00%	21.61%	20.00%	16.00%	11.76%	11.11%	24.42%	18.48%				100%		14.38%	26.43%
														N	
None	27	21	4	1	1	-	9	18	-	-	-	-	27	14	13
	9.00%	10.55%	8.00%	4.00%	5.88%		10.47%	8.53%					100.0%	8.75%	9.29%

Comparison Groups: BCDEF/GH/IJKLM/NO  
 Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages  
 Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.  
 Lower case letters indicate significance at the 90% level.



23 TYPE OF VOTER

	WATER SERVICE PROVIDE					CITY		VOTE PROPENSITY					VOTER TYPE		
	TOTAL	CITY OF TUSTIN	SO CAL WATER CO	EOCWD	IRVINE RANCH WD	CITY OF ORANGE	TUSTIN	UNINCORP	4/4	3/4	2/4	1/4	NONE	PRMNT ABSENTE	ELECTION DAY VOTR
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
TOTAL ANSWERING	300	199	50	25	17	9	86	211	109	44	60	60	27	160	140
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100.00%	100.00%
Permanent Absentee	160	105	27	13	11	4	44	116	71	24	28	23	14	160	-
	53.33%	52.76%	54.00%	52.00%	64.71%	44.44%	51.16%	54.98%	65.1% KL	54.5% 1	46.7%	38.3%	51.85%	100.00%	
Election Day Voter	140	94	23	12	6	5	42	95	38	20	32	37	13	-	140
	46.67%	47.24%	46.00%	48.00%	35.29%	55.56%	48.84%	45.02%	34.9%	45.5%	53.3%	61.7% I	48.15% Ij		100.00%

Comparison Groups: BCDEF/GH/IJKLM/NO  
 Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages  
 Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.  
 Lower case letters indicate significance at the 90% level.